

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.supplement-1.203

BIOCHAR APPLICATION : A PROPITIOUS APPROACH TO CLIMATE SMART AGRICULTURE

Nidhi Singh*, Priyanka Soni, Rahul Kumar, Fahami Zaya, Amrita Kumari, Riya Gupta and Nandita Ghoshal

Centre of Advanced Study in Botany, Department of Botany, Institute of Science, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, U.P., India *Corresponding author E-mail: nidhisingh.vns@rediffmail.com (Date of Receiving : 12-01-2024; Date of Acceptance : 24-03-2024)

ABSTRACT The increasing challenges posed by climate change require innovative strategies in agriculture to enhance food productivity while minimizing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Climate-smart agriculture (CSA) is an important strategy that addresses the dual challenges of climate change and food security. Application of biochar as soil amendment in crop lands, has been recognised as an efficient and important approach of CSA. Biochar, a carbon-rich material produced from variety of feedstocks through pyrolysis, has high potential of soil carbon sequestration which in turn help not only in improving fertility and productivity of soil, but also in combating climate change. The effectiveness of biochar as a soil amendment mainly depends on the quantity and quality of the biochar which in turn is regulated by the elemental composition, pH, functional groups, and electrical conductivity of biochar. Biochar has certain limitations when used alone which may be overcome by the co-application of biochar with inorganic nitrogen fertilizers. Biochar can also be used as a slow-release fertilizer which may aid in synchronising nutrient supply with plant demand. Thus, judicious use of biochar either alone or in combination of chemical fertilizer can help in achieving food security and also mitigation of climate change.

Keywords : Biochar, Climate change, Climate-smart agriculture, soil amendment, soil fertility.

Introduction

Agriculture under changing climate scenario is facing major challenges of increasing food productivity while reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions caused by various agricultural practices. Conventional agricultural practices involving use of various farm chemicals, in form of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, irrigation and tillage are widely used to increase crop yield and plant protection however injudicious use of these practices results in negative impacts on native vegetation, degrade soil fertility and increase in GHG emission from soil leading to global climate change (Bhattacharyya et al., 2024). Climatesmart agriculture (CSA) is one such strategy that addresses the dual challenges of climate change and security by sustainably increasing food food production, enhancing soil and crop resilience, and reducing greenhouse gas emissions. CSA is also aimed to achieve its three objectives which are popularly known as three pillars viz. adaptation, mitigation and food security (Tasnoova and Iwamoto, 2009). Amongst others, one key approach of CSA is the application of biochar as soil amendment in crop lands. Application of biochar has become important as it has ability to improve the potential of carbon sequestration into soil, which in turn not only help in mitigating the impact of climate change but also improving the soil fertility (Bai et al., 2019). Biochar is a carbon-rich material produced through the pyrolysis process, which involves the thermal decomposition of various feedstocks in an oxygen-limited environment (Rady et al., 2016). For conserving soil health, biochar stands out as a crucial and accessible resource.

Biochar application has been reported to improve soil physical and chemical properties by increasing soil aeration, porosity, moisture content, aggregate stability, water retention capacity and reducing bulk density which intern resulted in improved productivity and fertility of soil (Murtaza *et al.*, 2021). Biochar application has also been observed to enhance soil microbial activity, nutrient availability in the soil, and reduce nutrient leaching (Yin *et al.*, 2021). However, it is influenced by several factors such as the quantity and quality of biochar and the specific soil type. Furthermore, the use of biochar is consistent with the wider goals of sustainable development, particularly the sustainable development goal number 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15 (Fig.1) (Kumar and Bhattacharya, 2020).



Fig. 1 : Possible achievement of sustainable development goals (SDGs) by production and application of biochar (Adapted from Kumar and Bhattacharya, 2020).

Basic properties of biochar

Elemental composition: The basic structure of biochar is mainly made up of carbon (C), hydrogen (H), oxygen (O), and nitrogen (N) (Kaal *et al.*, 2012). Common metallic elements like iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) as well as alkali metals like potassium (K), calcium (Ca), sodium (Na), and magnesium (Mg) contribute to its alkaline properties. The source materials and production processes of biochar have an impact on its elemental composition. Compared to sludge and manure-biochar, plant-derived biochar usually has greater carbon content, ranging from 39.75% to 90.21% (Zhao *et al.*, 2022). The dehydration and depolymerization of lignin and cellulose into smaller molecules as the pyrolysis temperature rises is the cause of this increase in carbon content (Xing *et al.*, 2021). Because of its high nutritional content, biochar is an effective soil amendment for improving crop development and restoring nutrient-deficient soils.

1480

pH: Depending on the source, pH of biochar might vary, but it usually rises at higher pyrolysis temperature and involved two major factors as follows:

- a. Alkaline and residual inorganic mineral components: SiO₂, CaCO₃, KCl, CaSO₄, and -NO₃. These elements greatly contribute to the pH of biochar being alkaline (Chan and Xu, 2009).
- b. Decomposition of acidic functional groups: The high temperatures of pyrolysis during the production of biochar from plant sources encourage the volatilization of organic acids and the breakdown of acidic functional groups such as COO- and -OH. The acidity of biochar is decreased throughout this procedure, raising the pH. The majority of biochar made from forestry and agricultural waste has a pH between 7.0 to 10.4 (Zhang *et al.*, 2021).

Functional Groups: The unique physical and chemical properties of biochar surfaces, such as their adsorption capabilities, hydrophilic and hydrophobic qualities, and acid-base buffering capacities, are attributed to their richness in different functional groups (Zhang et al., 2021). According to Banik et al. (2018), the main oxygen-containing functional groups on biochar are carbonyl, lactone, phenolic hydroxyl, and carboxyl groups. When biochar is produced from different feedstocks at the same pyrolysis temperature, the types and amounts of these oxygen-containing functional groups are generally similar (Zhang et al., 2021). Furthermore, Janu et al. (2021) found that key parameters such the temperature of pyrolysis, the specific surface area, the amount of ash, and the H/C ratio had a major impact on the presence of functional groups on biochar.

Electrical Conductance: Biochar exhibits an electrical conductivity (EC) ranging from 0.07 dS m⁻¹ to 10.4 ± 0.05 dS m⁻¹. The EC of biochar produced from plant wastes and sludge is generally higher than that of biochar formed from manure. The type of raw material utilized and the temperature of pyrolysis have an impact on the EC of biochar. Higher pyrolysis temperatures typically result in biochar with a higher EC as pyrolysis causes the loss of volatile compounds, which raises the residue and ash content (Singh *et al.*, 2017).

Sources and production processes of biochar

Biochar made from ordinary wastes might be a viable solution for environmental sustainability. Common wastes, such as agricultural residues, biomass crops, manures, and sludge wastes, can all be used as feedstock for biochar production (Table 1). Depending

on the source of biomass, feed stocks could be classified as herbaceous and woody biomass, human and animal manure, industrial and agro-industrial waste (Lahori et al. 2017). The type of feedstocks determines the quality of biochar such as nutrient content, pH, pore structure, sorption rates and ion exchange capacity. Thermochemical conversion is a widely used method for producing biochar involves several technologies, such as pyrolysis, further categorized into slow pyrolysis and fast pyrolysis, gasification (Table 2). These technologies facilitate the production of biochar by utilizing waste materials, thereby addressing energy needs and enhancing soil carbon sequestration. The elemental composition and characteristics of biochar are primarily influenced by factors such as the type of biomass, the conditions under which the reactions occur, and the reactors employed during the carbonization process. Despite being primarily composed of carbon and ash, these variables play a crucial role in determining the final properties of the biochar (Yaashikaa et al. 2020).

Biochar as soil amendment

Biochar has become a well-known soil amendment as it has several advantages in agriculture, waste management, and environmental protection (Chen *et al.*, 2022). In comparison to unamended soil, Spokas *et al.* (2009) found that adding biochar in concentrations ranging from 2 to 60% w/w, decreased carbon dioxide emissions, suppressed nitrous oxide production at levels above 20% w/w, and increased ambient methane oxidation.

Recent research highlights the significant potential of biochar in enhancing soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks. Studies indicate that depending on the type of biomass used, application rates, and environmental conditions, biochar can increase SOC levels by as much as 30% or more (Lehmann and Joseph, 2022). Furthermore, certain feedstocks have been shown to produce biochar with enhanced carbon stability, leading to even greater improvements in SOC (Kumar *et al.*, 2023).

Biochar also plays a crucial role as a stabilizing agent for other organic materials in the soil. Its presence helps form complexes with organic compounds, which can reduce the rates at which these materials decompose (Zhou *et al.*, 2023). Long-term field trials have demonstrated that repeated applications of biochar result in cumulative increases in SOC stocks. For instance, a long-term study indicated consistent improvements in SOC levels, particularly in degraded soils (Woolf *et al.*, 2022).

Feedstock	Pyrolysis temp. (°C)	Yield (%)	С%	N%	References	
Rice straw	300	49.8	74.7	1.72	Wu et al. (2012)	
	700	34.7	90.6	1.41		
Sewage sludge	300	62.5	39.7	7.1	Agrafoti et al. (2013)	
Wheat straw	400	34	65.7	1.05	Kloss et al. (2012)	
Poultry litter	700	36.7	45.9	2.07	Cantrell et al. (2012)	

Table 1 : Sources and properties of biochar

Table 2 : Thermochemical conversion processes and production parameters of biochar

Standard methodologies	Operating conditions	Residence time	Biochar yield (approx.)	References
Pyrolysis				
Slow pyrolysis	300–700 °C	>450 s	Up to 35%	
Fast pyrolysis	550–1250 °C	0.5–20 s	Up to 20%	Zhang <i>et al.</i> 2021
Flash pyrolysis	800–1300 °C	<0.5 s	Up to 12%	
Gasification	>700 °C	10-20 s	Up to 10%	Yaashikaa et al. 2020
Flash carbonization	300–600 °C	<30 min	Up to 40-50%	Zhang et al. 2021
Torrefaction	200–300 °C	10-60 min	Up to 80%	Cahyanti et al. 2020

Biochar application, however has some limitations. The exclusive application of biochar to soil may negatively impact plant growth by adsorbing mineral nitrogen and readily available organic carbon on its surface. Studies also reported that lower crop yields associated with the sole use of biochar, particularly in soils with a limited supply of available nitrogen (Reibe et al., 2015). Therefore, relying solely on biochar for soil amendment might not be advantageous for intensive crop production. On the other hand, the nitrogen limitation caused by biochar for crops and the subsequent N immobilization can be mitigated through the combined application of biochar with inorganic N fertilizers. The co-application of biochar with urea can help reduce nitrogen losses, thereby increasing nitrogen availability in agricultural soils for an extended period (Sui et al., 2016).

Biochar as slow-release fertilizers

Slow-release fertilizers (SRF) being are deliberately developed to counteract the shortcomings of traditional fertilizers and have gained increasing interest worldwide. Fertilizers that delay nutrient availability for plant uptake are known as SRFs. SRFs release nutrients more slowly than traditional fertilizers, increasing plant availability and decreasing environmental nutrient loss. One of the best methods for lowering nitrogen loss and raising nitrogen usage efficiency (NUE) is the use of biochar-based nitrogen fertilizers (BBNFs) (Dong et al., 2020). For instance, Jia et al. (2021) demonstrated a roughly 20% increase in NUE with BBNFs compared to urea.

Slow-release (BBNF) formulations are designed to better synchronize the nitrogen supply in soil with plant demand (Jia *et al.*, 2021). This helps to avoid the

large mineral nitrogen pools that can follow the application of mineral fertilizers, thereby reducing nitrogen loss pathways such as denitrification (Puga et al., 2020), leaching and volatilization (Shi et al., 2020). Urea is the most commonly used nitrogen source for producing BBNFs. Urea requires hydrolysis via the urease enzyme in the soil to become plant-available (Shi et al., 2020). Shi et al. (2020) demonstrated that, compared to urea fertilizer, the dissolved organic carbon concentration with BBNF remained stable for over 10 days, indicating an interaction between organic matter and mineral additives in BBNFs that protects urea from rapid hydrolysis. González et al. (2015) also found that using polymeric coating materials on urea can retard its hydrolysis, resulting in slower nitrogen release. Additionally, Wen et al. (2017) noted that hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions govern the slow release of ammonium (NH₄⁺) in BBNFs. Biochar produced at pyrolysis temperatures between 200 and 400°C, incomplete carbonization leads to more oxygen functional groups, providing sorption sites for NH4⁺ and contributing to slower nitrogen release (Cai et al., 2016). BBNFs can reduce nitrogen losses compared to conventional chemical fertilizers, offering significant environmental benefits (Puga et al., 2020).

Conclusion

The challenge of depleting agricultural land due to the increasing pressure from a growing population has underscored the importance of adopting sustainable crop production practices. Use of biochar has been recognised as an approach important and efficient of climate smart agriculture to enhance soil fertility and productivity thereby achieving food security and mitigation of climate change. However, it has some limitations when applied alone. Co-application of biochar with urea in appropriate combination can facilitate the slow release of nitrogen and reduce nitrogen losses. The effectiveness of biochar depend on its quality and quantity, thus judicious use of biochar either alone or in combination of chemical fertilizer can help in achievement of food security and also mitigation of climate change.

Acknowledgement

We thank the Head, Department of Botany, for providing required facilities, University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India is acknowledged for providing Junior research fellowships to Ms. Nidhi Singh, University Research Fellowship to Dr. Priyanka Soni, CAS Junior research fellowships to Ms. Fahami Zaya and Amrita Kumari. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India is also providing acknowledged for Senior Research Fellowship to Mr. Rahul Kumar and Ms. Riya Gupta. Faculty Incentive Grant under Institute of Eminence Scheme provided to Prof. Nandita Ghoshal is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Agrafoti, E., Bouras, G., Kalderis, D. and Diamadopoulos, E. (2013). Biochar production by sewage sludge pyrolysis. *J. Analyt. Appl. Pyrol.*, **101**: 72–78.
- Bai, X., Huang, Y., Ren, W., Coyne, M., Jacinthe, P. A., Tao, B. and Matocha, C. (2019). Responses of soil carbon sequestration to climate-smart agriculture practices: A meta-analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.*, 25: 2591-2606.
- Banik, C., Lawrinenko, M., Bakshi, S. and Laird, D.A. (2018). Impact of pyrolysis temperature and feedstock on surface charge and functional group chemistry of biochars. J. Environ. Qual., 47: 452–461.
- Bhattacharyya, P.N., Sandilya, S.P., Sarma, B., Pandey, A.K., Dutta, J., Mahanta, K. and Borgohain, D.J. (2024). Biochar as Soil Amendment in Climate-Smart Agriculture: opportunities, future prospects, and challenges. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutri., 24:135-158.
- Cahyanti, M.N., Doddapaneni, T.R.K.C. and Kikas, T. (2020). Biomass torrefaction: an overview on process parameters, economic and environmental aspects and recent advancements. *Biores. Technol.*, **301**: 1-11.
- Cai, Y., Qi, H., Liu, Y. and He, X. (2016) Sorption/desorption behaviour and mechanism of NH_4^+ by biochar as a nitrogen fertilizer sustained release material. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **64**: 4958–4964.
- Cantrell, K.B., Hunt, P.G., Uchimiya, M., Novak, J.M. and Ro, K.S. (2012). Impact of pyrolysis temperature and manure source on physicochemical characteristics of biochar. *Biores. Technol.*, **107**: 419–428.
- Chan, K.Y. and Xu, Z.H. (2009). Biochar: Nutrient Properties and Their Enhancement. In *Biochar for Environmental Management*, 1st ed.; Lehmann, J., Joseph, S., Eds.; Routledge: London, UK, 1-18.

- Chen, X., Du, Z., Liu, D., Wang, L., Pan, C. and Wei, Z. (2022). Biochar mitigates the biotoxicity of heavy metals in livestock manure during composting. *Biochar*, **4**:1–13.
- Dong, D., Wang, C., Van Zwieten, L., Wang, H., Jiang, P., Zhou, M. and Wu, W. (2020). An effective biochar-based slow-release fertilizer for reducing nitrogen loss in paddy felds. J. Soils Sediment, 20: 3027–3040.
- González, M.E., Cea, M., Medina, J., González, A., Diez, M.C., Cartes, P., Monreal, C. and Navia, R. (2015). Evaluation of biodegradable polymers as encapsulating agents for the development of a urea controlled-release fertilizer using biochar as support material. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **505**: 446– 453.
- Janu, R., Mrlik, V., Ribitsch, D., Hofman, J., Sedláčcek, P., Bielská, L. and Soja, G. (2021). Biochar surface functional groups as affected by biomass feedstock, biochar composition and pyrolysis temperature. *Carbon Resour. Convers.*, 4: 36–46.
- Jia, Y.M., Hu, Z.Y., Ba, Y.X. and Qi, W.F. (2021). Application of biochar-coated urea-controlled loss of fertilizer nitrogen and increased nitrogen use efficiency. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.*, 8: 1-11.
- Kaal, J., Schneider, M.P.W. and Schmidt, M.W.I. (2012). Rapid molecular screening of black carbon (biochar) thermosequences obtained from chestnut wood and rice straw: A pyrolysis-GC/MS study. *Biomass Bioenerg.*, 45: 115–129.
- Kloss, S., Zehetner, F., Dellantonio, A., Hamid, R., Ottner, F. and Liedtke, V. (2012). Characterization of slow pyrolysis biochars: Efects of feedstocks and pyrolysis temperature on biochar properties. J. Environ. Qual., 41: 990–1000.
- Kumar, A., Bhattacharya, T., Hasnain, S. M., Nayak, A. K. and Hasnain, M. S. (2020). Applications of biomass-derived materials for energy production, conversion, and storage. *Material Sci. Energ. Technol.*, **3**: 905-920.
- Lahori, A.H., Zhanyu, G.U.O., Zhang, Z., Ronghua, L.I., Mahar, A., Awasthi, M. K. and Jiang, S. (2017). Use of biochar as an amendment for remediation of heavy metalcontaminated soils: prospects and challenges. *Pedosphere*, 27: 991-1014.
- Lehmann, J. and Joseph, S. (2022). Biochar for Environmental Management. 3rd ed. Routledge. 1-4
- Murtaza, G., Ahmed, Z., Usman, M., Tariq, W., Ullah, Z. and Shareef, M. (2021a). Biochar induced modifications in soil properties and its impacts on crop growth and production. J. Plant Nutr., 44:1–15.
- Puga, A.P., Grutzmacher, P., Cerri, C., Ribeirinho, V.S. and Andrade, C. (2020). Biochar-based nitrogen fertilizers: greenhouse gas emissions, use efciency, and maize yield in tropical soils. *Sci. Total. Environ.*,**704**: 1-37.
- Rady, M.M., Semida, W.M., Hemida, K.A. and Abdelhamid, M.T. (2016). The effect of compost on growth and yield of Phaseolus vulgaris plants grown under saline soil. *Int. J. Recycl. Org. Waste Agric.*, 5: 311–321.
- Reibe, K., Rob, C. and Ellmer, F. (2015). Hydro-biochar application to sandy soils: impact on yield components and nutrients of spring wheat in pots. *Archiv. Agro. Soil Sci.*, 61: 1055–1060.
- Shi, W., Ju, Y.Y., Bian, R.J., Li, L. and Pan, G. (2020). Biochar bound urea boosts plant growth and reduces nitrogen leaching. *Sci. Total Environ.*, **701**: 1-9.
- Singh, B., Dolk, M.M., Shen, Q.H. and Arbestain, M.C. (2017). Biochar pH, Electrical Conductivity and Liming Potential.

In *A Guide to Analytical Methods*; Singh, B., Camps-Arbestain, M., Lehmann, J., Eds.; CSIRO: Victoria, UK, 23–38.

- Spokas, K.A., Koskinen, W.C., Baker, J.M. and Reicosky, D.C. (2009). Impacts of woodchip biochar additions on greenhouse gas production and sorption/degradation of two herbicides in a minnesota soil. *Chemosphere*, 77: 574-581.
- Sui, Y., Gao, J., Liu, C., Zhang, W., Lan, Y., Li, S., Meng, J., Xu, Z. and Tang, L. (2016). Interactive efects of strawderived biochar and N fertilization on soil C storage and rice productivity in rice paddies of Northeast China. *Science Environ.*, 544: 203–210.
- Tasnoova, S. and Iwamoto, I. (2009). The improvement of livelihood and rural development by the exotic pangasiid catfish farming in bangladesh. World Review Sci. Technol. Sustain. Devel., 6: 64-74.
- Wen, P., Wu, Z., Han, Y., Cravotto, G., Wang, J. and Ye BC. (2017). Microwave assisted synthesis of a novel biocharbased slow-release nitrogen fertilizer with enhanced water-retention capacity. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 5: 7374–7382.
- Woolf, D. (2022). "The role of biochar in carbon management: a review." *Glob. Change Biol.*, **28**: 57-73.
- Wu, W., Yang, M., Feng, Q., Mc Grouther, K., Wang, H. and Lu, H. (2012). Chemical characterization of rice straw-

derived biochar for soil amendment. *Biomass Bioenerg.*, **47**: 268–276.

- Xing, J., Xu, G. and Li, G. (2021). Comparison of pyrolysis process, various fractions and potential soil applications between sewage sludge-based biochars and lignocellulose-based biochars. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.*, 208: 1-15.
- Yaashikaa, P.R., Senthil, Kumar, P., Varjani, S. and Saravanan, A. (2020). A critical review on the biochar production techniques, characterization, stability and applications for circular bioeconomy. *Biotechnol. Rep.* 28: 1-15.
- Yin, D., Li, H., Wang, H., Guo, X., Wang, Z. and Lv, Y. (2021a). Impact of different biochars on microbial community structure in the rhizospheric soil of rice grown in albic soil. *Molecules*, 26: 1-21.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, J. and Feng, Y. (2021). The effects of biochar addition on soil physicochemical properties: A review. *Catena*, **202**: 1-19.
- Zhao, Y., Li, X., Li, Y., Bao, H., Xing, J., Zhu, Y. and Xu, G. (2022). Biochar acts as an emerging soil amendment and its potential ecological risks: a review. *Energies*, 16: 1-32.
- Zhou, J. (2023). "The Role of Biochar in Enhancing Soil Organic Carbon Stocks: A Review." *Soil Use Manag.*, **39**: 234-250.

1484